

PhD Macro 2 Topic 4 Questions

1) In the model of the lecture, show that if we observe capital and capacity utilisation then we may calibrate δ_0 and δ_2 via regression. Go on to do this with real data! Is this a legitimate exercise given the way national statistical agencies construct capital series?

2) Re-do example 5.11 from “Methods for applied macroeconomic research” (Canova) on up to date data.

3) Complete the following exercises from “Methods for applied macroeconomic research” (Canova):

a)

Exercise 5.41 Consider the situation where agents in one country (say the US) have the option to purchase one period bonds denominated in another currency (say, yen) and let ner_t the (dollar-yen) exchange rate. Show that in equilibrium

$$0 = E_t \left[\beta \frac{U_{c,t+1}/p_{t+1}}{U_{c,t}/p_t} \left((1 + i_{1t}) - \frac{ner_{t+1}}{ner_t} (1 + i_{2t}) \right) \right] \quad (5.32)$$

where i_{it} is the nominal interest rate on bonds of country i , p_t is the price level and $U_{c,t+1}/p_{t+1}$ the marginal utility of money. Log linearize the condition, assuming $u(c_t) = \ln c_t$. Using nominal balances, nominal interest rates and nominal exchange rate data verify whether (5.32) holds. Test whether agents discount the future or not (i.e. whether $\beta = 1$).

b)

Exercise 7.1 Consider a sticky price model without capital and instantaneous utility $U(c, N, M) = \ln c_t + \frac{1}{1-\varphi_m} \left(\frac{M_{t+1}}{p_t} \right)^{1-\varphi_m}$. Assume Calvo pricing; let $1-\zeta_p$ be the fraction of agents allowed to change prices and β the discount factor. Derive an Euler equation, a money demand function and a Phillips curve. Log-linearize the conditions and describe how to select preferences and production parameters, relevant steady states and auxiliary parameters.

Exercise 7.8 Using the sticky price model of exercise 7.1 and the selected parameters, examine whether the model reproduces the persistence of US inflation by computing $S(\omega = 0) = \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} ACF_{\pi}(\tau)$ where $ACF_{\pi}(\tau)$ is the autocovariance of inflation at lag τ .

c)

Exercise 7.4 (Risk free rate puzzle) Take the economy discussed in exercise 2.6 of chapter 2. Assume that $u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\varphi}}{1-\varphi}$ and that output evolves according to $gdp_{t+1} = gy_{t+1}gdp_t$. Assume that gy_{t+1} can take n possible values (gy_1, \dots, gy_n) and let $p_{ij} \equiv P(gy_{t+1} = gy_{i'} | gy_t = gy_i) = \mu_{i'} + \rho_y(\mathcal{I}_{ii'} - \mu_{i'})$ where $\mu_{i'}$ are unconditional probabilities, $\mathcal{I}_{ii'} = 1$ if $i = i'$ and zero otherwise, and $\rho_y \in (-(n-1)^{-1}, 1)$. If the current state is (gdp_t, gy_i) , the price of an asset paying one unit of output next period satisfies $p_t^s U_c(gdp_t, gy_i) = \sum_{i'} p_{ii'} \beta [U_c(gdp_{t+1}, gy_{i'})]$. It is easy to verify that unconditionally $\text{var}(p_t^s) = \beta^2 \rho_y^2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (\sum_{i'=1}^n p_{i'} (gy_i^{-\varphi} - gy_{i'}^{-\varphi}))^2$.

i) Set $n = 2, gy_1 = 0.9873, gy_2 = 1.0177, \mu_1 = 0.2, \mu_2 = 0.8, \beta = 0.99, \rho_y = 0.8, \varphi = 2$. Simulate asset price data from the model and treat these as the actual data.

ii) Set (n, gy_i, p_i, β) as in i) but now set $\rho_y = 0.6$. Choose φ so that the simulated variance of asset prices matches the variance of asset prices produced in i) (you can select the loss function you want, e.g., $\min |\text{var}^A(p_t^s) - \text{var}^S(p_t^s)|$ where $\text{var}^S(p_t^s)$ ($\text{var}^A(p_t^s)$) is the variance of simulated (actual) data). Repeat the exercise for $\rho_y = 0.9$.

iii) Repeat i) 100 times drawing φ from $\mathbf{U}(1, 10)$ (treat this as 100 realizations of the actual data). Repeat ii) 100 times for $\rho_y = 0.6, 0.9$ and show the distributions of $\hat{\varphi}$ that best matches $\text{var}^A(p_t^s)$.

iv) Consider now the mean of asset prices. Repeat iii) fixing $\varphi = 2$ and choosing ρ_y to minimize $|E_t((p_t^s)^A - (p_t^s)^S)|$. Is there any pattern worth mentioning?